

Web Appendix for Testing for Factor Price Equality with Unobserved Differences in Factor Quality or Productivity

Andrew B. Bernard*

Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth, NBER & CEPR

Stephen J. Redding†

Princeton University, NBER & CEPR

Peter K. Schott‡

Yale School of Management & NBER

August 20, 2012

Abstract

This web appendix contains additional empirical results discussed but not reported in the main paper.

*100 Tuck Hall, Hanover, NH 03755, USA, tel: (603) 646-0302, fax: (603) 646-0995, email: andrew.b.bernard@tuck.dartmouth.edu

†Fisher Hall, Princeton, NJ 08540, tel: (609) 258-4016, fax: (609) 258-6419, email: reddings@princeton.edu

‡135 Prospect Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA, tel: (203) 436-4260, fax: (203) 432-6974, email: peter.schott@yale.edu

EA	Name	1972		1992		2007	
		Coef	SE	Coef	SE	Coef	SE
1	Bangor, ME	-0.215	0.010	0.053	0.008	0.027	0.009
2	Portland, ME	0.053	0.007	0.131	0.005	0.159	0.007
3	Boston, MA	0.263	0.005	0.243	0.004	0.285	0.005
4	Burlington, VT	-0.047	0.009	0.005	0.006	0.153	0.007
5	Albany, NY	0.151	0.006	0.074	0.006	0.114	0.005
6	Syracuse, NY	0.011	0.005	0.058	0.005	0.168	0.004
7	Rochester, NY	0.127	0.005	0.087	0.005	0.055	0.005
8	Buffalo, NY	0.071	0.005	0.029	0.004	0.109	0.005
9	State College, PA	-0.162	0.007	-0.096	0.006	-0.056	0.006
10	New York, NY	0.243	0.005	0.211	0.004	0.220	0.005
11	Harrisburg, PA	-0.046	0.005	-0.018	0.005	0.063	0.004
12	Philadelphia, PA	0.126	0.004	0.135	0.004	0.185	0.005
13	Washington, DC	0.088	0.005	0.040	0.004	0.044	0.004
14	Salisbury, MD	-0.076	0.010	-0.029	0.009	0.050	0.008
15	Richmond, VA	0.020	0.007	0.008	0.006	-0.016	0.006
16	Staunton, VA	0.005	0.009	-0.212	0.009	-0.059	0.008
17	Roanoke, VA	0.022	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.028	0.006
18	Greensboro, NC	0.117	0.006	0.029	0.005	-0.012	0.005
19	Raleigh, NC	0.054	0.007	0.068	0.005	0.061	0.005
20	Norfolk, VA	0.027	0.006	0.161	0.005	0.150	0.006
21	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.009	-0.050	0.006	-0.030	0.007
22	Fayetteville, NC	-0.001	0.010	-0.379	0.009	-0.050	0.008
23	Charlotte, NC	0.178	0.005	0.103	0.004	0.025	0.005
24	Columbia, SC	0.073	0.008	0.031	0.006	-0.031	0.006
25	Wilmington, NC	-0.104	0.007	-0.091	0.007	-0.017	0.007
26	Charleston, SC	0.065	0.009	0.189	0.008	0.187	0.007
27	Augusta, GA	0.101	0.008	-0.029	0.008	-0.145	0.007
28	Savannah, GA	0.064	0.007	0.087	0.009	-0.052	0.007
29	Jacksonville, FL	-0.047	0.006	0.007	0.004	0.142	0.006
30	Orlando, FL	0.149	0.006	0.163	0.004	0.201	0.004
31	Miami, FL	0.156	0.005	0.182	0.005	0.157	0.006
32	Fort Myers, FL	0.063	0.013	-0.001	0.009	0.153	0.007
33	Sarasota, FL	0.089	0.009	0.137	0.007	0.162	0.006
34	Tampa, FL	0.121	0.005	0.230	0.004	0.149	0.004
35	Tallahassee, FL	-0.040	0.009	0.104	0.008	0.047	0.008
36	Dothan, AL	-0.153	0.010	-0.018	0.008	-0.032	0.009
37	Albany, GA	0.008	0.007	-0.107	0.008	-0.101	0.008
38	Macon, GA	-0.064	0.006	-0.155	0.006	-0.148	0.006
39	Columbus, GA	-0.117	0.008	-0.120	0.008	-0.022	0.009
40	Atlanta, GA	0.066	0.005	-0.003	0.004	0.034	0.004
41	Greenville, SC	0.057	0.007	0.039	0.006	-0.029	0.005
42	Asheville, NC	0.039	0.009	0.094	0.006	0.109	0.006
43	Chattanooga, TN	0.032	0.005	-0.043	0.006	-0.102	0.006
44	Knoxville, TN	0.062	0.007	-0.074	0.005	0.099	0.005
45	Johnson City, TN	-0.057	0.006	-0.090	0.007	-0.157	0.008
46	Hickory, NC	-0.023	0.008	-0.066	0.007	0.020	0.007
47	Lexington, KY	-0.084	0.007	-0.089	0.005	-0.148	0.006
48	Charleston, WV	-0.072	0.006	0.020	0.006	-0.083	0.005
49	Cincinnati, OH	0.179	0.006	0.233	0.004	0.120	0.004
50	Dayton, OH	0.124	0.005	0.070	0.005	0.068	0.005
51	Columbus, OH	-0.001	0.005	0.037	0.004	0.037	0.004
52	Wheeling, WV	-0.280	0.011	-0.139	0.010	-0.132	0.010
53	Pittsburgh, PA	0.013	0.005	0.049	0.004	0.144	0.004
54	Erie, PA	0.076	0.007	0.045	0.006	-0.015	0.005
55	Cleveland, OH	0.166	0.005	0.082	0.004	0.070	0.004
56	Toledo, OH	0.006	0.005	-0.064	0.005	-0.005	0.004
57	Detroit, MI	0.165	0.005	0.148	0.004	0.183	0.004

Notes: Table lists estimated relative wage bill and associated standard error by BEA Economic Area and year (see text). Economic areas have been abbreviated to indicate first city and state they encompass.

Table A-1: Estimated Relative Wage Bill Coefficients and Standard Errors (1 of 3)

EA	Name	1972		1992		2007	
		Coef	SE	Coef	SE	Coef	SE
58	Northern Michigan, MI	0.003	0.011	-0.018	0.008	-0.067	0.009
59	Green Bay, WI	-0.095	0.007	0.046	0.007	0.120	0.006
60	Appleton, WI	0.018	0.008	0.108	0.006	-0.040	0.006
61	Traverse City, MI	0.022	0.009	0.046	0.007	0.152	0.007
62	Grand Rapids, MI	0.156	0.005	0.145	0.004	0.181	0.004
63	Milwaukee, WI	0.153	0.004	0.166	0.004	0.229	0.004
64	Chicago, IL	0.242	0.004	0.193	0.004	0.127	0.005
65	Elkhart, IN	0.063	0.006	0.001	0.004	0.049	0.004
66	Fort Wayne, IN	0.032	0.006	-0.049	0.005	-0.095	0.005
67	Indianapolis, IN	0.046	0.004	-0.015	0.004	0.007	0.004
68	Champaign, IL	0.049	0.006	-0.028	0.006	-0.146	0.006
69	Evansville, IN	-0.115	0.006	-0.128	0.006	-0.093	0.005
70	Louisville, KY	0.049	0.006	-0.058	0.006	-0.024	0.005
71	Nashville, TN	0.034	0.005	-0.094	0.004	-0.048	0.005
72	Paducah, KY	-0.187	0.012	-0.141	0.009	-0.093	0.011
73	Memphis, TN	0.046	0.005	-0.080	0.004	-0.061	0.005
74	Huntsville, AL	-0.117	0.008	-0.134	0.007	-0.124	0.006
75	Tupelo, MS	-0.211	0.007	-0.062	0.006	-0.139	0.006
76	Greenville, MS	-0.186	0.011	-0.215	0.011	-0.144	0.014
77	Jackson, MS	-0.031	0.005	-0.129	0.005	-0.097	0.006
78	Birmingham, AL	0.031	0.005	-0.021	0.004	0.015	0.005
79	Montgomery, AL	-0.115	0.007	-0.092	0.007	0.043	0.007
80	Mobile, AL	0.113	0.008	0.093	0.007	-0.097	0.008
81	Pensacola, FL	-0.050	0.010	0.073	0.008	0.031	0.008
82	Biloxi, MS	-0.144	0.009	0.116	0.009	-0.038	0.012
83	New Orleans, LA	0.108	0.006	0.153	0.007	0.040	0.006
84	Baton Rouge, LA	0.024	0.009	-0.013	0.009	-0.043	0.007
85	Lafayette, LA	0.051	0.011	-0.186	0.010	-0.082	0.008
86	Lake Charles, LA	-0.034	0.009	-0.109	0.009	-0.290	0.009
87	Beaumont, TX	0.004	0.008	-0.224	0.008	-0.103	0.008
88	Shreveport, LA	-0.083	0.007	-0.020	0.006	-0.087	0.006
89	Monroe, LA	-0.073	0.009	-0.112	0.009	0.005	0.008
90	Little Rock, AR	-0.139	0.005	-0.137	0.005	-0.010	0.005
91	Fort Smith, AR	-0.146	0.008	-0.012	0.009	-0.123	0.009
92	Fayetteville, AR	-0.195	0.009	-0.162	0.007	-0.005	0.006
93	Joplin, MO	-0.047	0.007	-0.161	0.008	-0.064	0.007
94	Springfield, MO	-0.175	0.007	-0.095	0.006	0.010	0.007
95	Jonesboro, AR	-0.205	0.008	-0.319	0.009	-0.175	0.008
96	St. Louis, MO	0.101	0.004	0.051	0.005	0.012	0.004
97	Springfield, IL	-0.045	0.008	-0.147	0.007	-0.069	0.008
98	Columbia, MO	-0.123	0.008	-0.109	0.008	-0.062	0.007
99	Kansas City, MO	0.083	0.005	0.099	0.004	0.077	0.005
100	Des Moines, IA	0.107	0.005	-0.056	0.004	0.030	0.004
101	Peoria, IL	-0.092	0.006	-0.049	0.007	-0.039	0.007
102	Davenport, IA	0.064	0.007	0.038	0.006	-0.013	0.005
103	Cedar Rapids, IA	0.022	0.008	0.025	0.008	0.079	0.007
104	Madison, WI	-0.015	0.006	-0.037	0.006	-0.009	0.004
105	La Crosse, WI	-0.061	0.011	-0.059	0.008	-0.152	0.007
106	Rochester, MN	0.034	0.008	0.033	0.007	0.007	0.007
107	Minneapolis, MN	0.142	0.004	0.130	0.004	0.215	0.005
108	Wausau, WI	-0.105	0.008	-0.123	0.007	-0.204	0.007
109	Duluth, MN	-0.079	0.010	-0.093	0.009	0.072	0.008
110	Grand Forks, ND	0.048	0.012	-0.215	0.014	-0.370	0.010
111	Minot, ND	-0.112	0.012	0.265	0.015	-0.298	0.015
112	Bismarck, ND	-0.245	0.014	0.044	0.012	-0.155	0.010
113	Fargo, ND	-0.149	0.009	0.059	0.010	0.073	0.008
114	Aberdeen, SD	-0.009	0.017	-0.301	0.017	-0.312	0.013

Notes: Table lists estimated relative wage bill and associated standard error by BEA Economic Area and year (see text). Economic areas have been abbreviated to indicate first city and state they encompass.

Table A-2: Estimated Relative Wage Bill Coefficients and Standard Errors (2 of 3)

EA Name	1972		1992		2007	
	Coef	SE	Coef	SE	Coef	SE
115 Rapid City, SD	0.070	0.013	0.050	0.011	0.033	0.011
116 Sioux Falls, SD	0.175	0.008	0.022	0.007	-0.111	0.006
117 Sioux City, IA	-0.002	0.009	-0.111	0.009	-0.114	0.008
118 Omaha, NE	-0.003	0.006	-0.088	0.006	-0.041	0.005
119 Lincoln, NE	0.046	0.009	-0.127	0.007	-0.040	0.006
120 Grand Island, NE	-0.084	0.010	-0.168	0.009	-0.156	0.007
121 North Platte, NE	-0.076	0.021	-0.065	0.019	-0.059	0.018
122 Wichita, KS	0.025	0.005	-0.020	0.005	-0.026	0.005
123 Topeka, KS	-0.109	0.009	-0.041	0.007	-0.134	0.008
124 Tulsa, OK	0.081	0.005	-0.011	0.005	0.021	0.005
125 Oklahoma City, OK	0.056	0.007	-0.056	0.005	-0.050	0.005
126 Western Oklahoma, OK	-0.191	0.013	-0.197	0.016	-0.214	0.017
127 Dallas, TX	0.093	0.004	0.103	0.004	0.096	0.004
128 Abilene, TX	-0.046	0.011	-0.010	0.010	-0.205	0.011
129 San Angelo, TX	-0.244	0.013	0.351	0.011	0.172	0.015
130 Austin, TX	0.143	0.008	0.139	0.006	0.157	0.006
131 Houston, TX	0.105	0.004	0.097	0.004	0.047	0.004
132 Corpus Christi, TX	0.050	0.009	-0.035	0.010	-0.057	0.009
133 McAllen, TX	-0.146	0.010	-0.004	0.010	-0.049	0.007
134 San Antonio, TX	0.013	0.007	0.092	0.006	0.079	0.006
135 Odessa, TX	-0.004	0.011	0.038	0.010	-0.083	0.009
136 Hobbs, NM	0.115	0.012	-0.016	0.014	-0.160	0.013
137 Lubbock, TX	0.010	0.008	0.086	0.009	0.004	0.008
138 Amarillo, TX	0.013	0.010	-0.096	0.009	-0.044	0.009
139 Santa Fe, NM	0.058	0.016	0.174	0.013	-0.003	0.017
140 Pueblo, CO	-0.314	0.010	0.082	0.011	0.006	0.008
141 Denver, CO	0.230	0.005	0.174	0.004	0.156	0.004
142 Scottsbluff, NE	-0.225	0.017	-0.201	0.015	-0.198	0.014
143 Casper, WY	-0.109	0.013	-0.147	0.012	-0.005	0.009
144 Billings, MT	-0.016	0.009	0.047	0.010	-0.054	0.008
145 Great Falls, MT	-0.165	0.015	0.083	0.017	-0.094	0.013
146 Missoula, MT	-0.118	0.011	-0.034	0.010	-0.100	0.009
147 Spokane, WA	-0.027	0.007	-0.016	0.006	0.120	0.006
148 Idaho Falls, ID	0.004	0.010	-0.080	0.010	-0.031	0.009
149 Twin Falls, ID	-0.081	0.013	-0.163	0.012	-0.118	0.009
150 Boise City, ID	-0.065	0.009	-0.036	0.007	0.003	0.007
151 Reno, NV	-0.099	0.009	0.033	0.007	0.051	0.006
152 Salt Lake City, UT	0.036	0.005	-0.009	0.005	0.057	0.005
153 Las Vegas, NV	-0.084	0.012	0.044	0.007	-0.086	0.006
154 Flagstaff, AZ	-0.049	0.014	-0.126	0.010	0.153	0.009
155 Farmington, NM	0.055	0.017	-0.002	0.012	0.170	0.013
156 Albuquerque, NM	0.115	0.008	0.024	0.008	0.076	0.007
157 El Paso, TX	-0.010	0.011	-0.054	0.008	-0.039	0.010
158 Phoenix, AZ	0.128	0.007	0.072	0.004	0.151	0.005
159 Tucson, AZ	0.008	0.011	0.198	0.008	0.081	0.007
160 Los Angeles, CA	0.236	0.005	0.222	0.004	0.175	0.005
161 San Diego, CA	0.164	0.007	0.269	0.006	0.268	0.005
162 Fresno, CA	0.020	0.007	-0.014	0.005	0.057	0.005
163 San Francisco, CA	0.128	0.004	0.221	0.004	0.160	0.004
164 Sacramento, CA	-0.025	0.007	0.086	0.005	0.058	0.005
165 Redding, CA	0.049	0.012	-0.192	0.010	-0.152	0.009
166 Eugene, OR	0.027	0.008	0.048	0.005	0.094	0.007
167 Portland, OR	0.083	0.005	0.060	0.004	0.129	0.004
168 Pendleton, OR	-0.181	0.013	-0.174	0.012	-0.206	0.013
169 Richland, WA	-0.187	0.009	-0.084	0.008	-0.192	0.007
170 Seattle, WA	0.021	0.005	0.171	0.004	0.111	0.004

Notes: Table lists estimated relative wage bill and associated standard error by BEA Economic Area and year (see text). Economic areas have been abbreviated to indicate first city and state they encompass.

Table A-3: Estimated Relative Wage Bill Coefficients and Standard Errors (3 of 3)

	Number of Common Industries		
	1972	1992	2007
$ \alpha_r - \alpha_s $	-64.35 1.98	-44.28 1.87	-63.10 2.04
Industries _r	0.37 0.004	0.42 0.003	0.42 0.003
Industries _s	0.28 0.003	0.33 0.003	0.36 0.003
Constant	-18.15 0.50	-32.49 0.56	-35.90 0.60
Observations	14,365	14,365	14,365
R-squared	0.80	0.83	0.85

Notes: OLS regression of the number of industries a region pair produces in common on the difference in regions' estimated relative wage bill and the number of industries produced in each region. Sample size equals the number of unique region pairs. Robust standard errors noted below each coefficient.

Table A-4: Industry Overlap and Relative Wage Bills Across Region Pairs, by Year

	Change in the Number of Common Industries	
	1972-1992	1972-2007
$\Delta \alpha_r - \alpha_s $	-4.83 0.65	-6.71 0.62
$\Delta\text{Industries}_r$	0.43 0.006	0.33 0.006
$\Delta\text{Industries}_s$	0.32 0.006	0.19 0.005
Constant	-1.04 0.12	5.73 0.09
Observations	14,365	14,365
R-squared	0.52	0.42

Notes: OLS regression of the change in the number of industries a region pair produces in common between noted years on the change in the difference between regions' estimated relative wage bills and the change in the number of industries produced in each region. Sample size equals the number of unique region pairs.
 Robust standard errors noted below each coefficient.

Table A-5: Changes in Industry Overlap and Changes in Relative Wage Bills Across Region Pairs, by Year